G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

R. dt. 1140-11

1914.

(Anuglai)

File No. 424-14

Serial Nos. 1-6.

Later references.

G.O. 11 1428

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 6.

Part B for Aug. 17

SUBJECT.

Archakship of Sri Subrahmanyeswara Swamy temple at Ankanathapur near Holenarahpur.

G. O. No. 281—Muz. 424-14-6, dated 6th August 1917.

Archak Earaward.

Confirms the Deputy Commissioner's order directing that the —— of Sri Subrahmanyeswara Swami temple at Ankanathapur near Holenarsipur be made out in the name of Lakshmidevamma, the respondent.

R^o. 515. D. 29.8.17. Copy of the above to Repr. H. Ramanna.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

R. Appadurai

R DII -12-9-17

G. R. S. 11] 1913

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Incoming)

NOTES.

424-14
File No.

FILE HEADING.

Archakship of Sri Subrahmanyaswara Swami Temple at Arukanathapuram, near Holenarasipur

From

H. Ramanna, Supdt., Govt. Industrial School, Chikka Nayakanahalli

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 6-3-1915. Received

Diary No. 1436	Enclos 1	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy. Commr's order directing that the Archak varaward be made out in the name of Lakshmidevamma, daughter of the deceased varawardar Appanna Bhatta, in preference to the appt.-brother's son of Appanna Bhatta.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The appeal is in time and is duly stamped. A copy of the order appealed against is produced.

Submtd. with a dft. calling for report.

MMS 29-3-1915.

Submtd.

NOTES—First Sheet

GPB—20,000—4-2-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 424 of 191 4

Serial No.	
	with reminds. 8/4 1/4/15 Appd Sec 3/4/15
2	2. Dy Comr of Stratan no 2258 dy 19.4.15 — for the above petn deals in a report with reminds —
Gms	2 the letter No: 2259 dr 19.4.15 informing him the above —
3.	From, H. Ramanna. Petition dy 20.4.1915. States that the local authorities are trying to bring the Dy. Commrs. order into effect and to make over the produce of the previous year to his opponent, that on an application to the Dy. Commr. he was directed to apply to Govt. and prays that pending the final disposal of his appeal the execution of the Dy. Commrs. order may be stayed. Submts MRNS) 26-4-1915. If approved, the petn may be forwarded in continuation

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—2,000—2 11 15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jury File No. 424 of 1914.

Serial No.	
	and the Dy: Comr requested to hold in abeyance his order till the disposal of the appeal by Govt.
	26/4 I do not think that the appellant has prima facie much [illegible] case and after all it is only a question of time for the interval. There is no case for stay order. Moreover an endorsement that Govt has no reason for ordering stay
	Submitted with a Draft Order
	Draft appd by First member 6 5 15
4	No: 2712 of 14 5/13 - As the petitioner informs him that Govt see no sufficient reason to comply with his request contd in Sl 3 above. No 2713 S 14 5/13 - Govt as ably by the Hon'ble with the request that [illegible] called for in Sl 2 may be expedited.

VOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB 25,000—2 11 14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 414 of 1916.

Serial No. 5.

From, The Dy. Commr, Hassan Dist.

Letter no. 399 dy 3.5.1916

Reports that the varaward of 3 months' turn of worship in the Temple, in the name of Anna Pandit (since deceased), has been ordered to be made out in the name of Anna Pandit's daughter Lakshmidevamma in preference to the appellant who is the son of Anna Pandit's younger (deceased) brother Subbanna, the duties being performed by Kapinipathiah, husband of Lakshmidevamma's sister Gowramma, as a Sumartha.

The Dy. Commr's order no 430 dy 2nd January 1915, and the letter under adverence explain the facts of the case fully.

His case will if so directed, be posted for hearing with the next batch of cases

Submd. for orders.

N V Gpy
13.6.16.

Yr hm
15.6.16

Subject with D.O. Notice

A question of principle is involved in the case and

approved
21/7/16

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB 25 000-2.12.11

Serial No.

a definite ruling will be helpful in fixing the policy to be adopted in such cases.

The deceased Annaswami was a hakdar archak and after his death, his wife Parvatamma managed the temple service through one of her relations. This Parvatamma is since dead leaving two daughters Lakshmidevamma a widow and Gowramma whose husband Kapaipatagar is attending to the puja. The widowed daughter is now recognized as the hakdar archak.

The first question that now arises is whether a female heir of whatever degree is capable of inheriting the right notwithstanding the fact that she is incapacitated by reason of her sex from officiating in the office. So far as I am aware there have been in Statute cases of widows inheriting the archak right of their husbands but the ruling given expressly in G.O No 1674 - Mis & Ins - 11-2 dated 20th June 1912 seems to me much beyond

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

the necessities of the case.

The acceptance of the principle without any qualification will give rise to the following anomalies

1) Appointing a person to an office in which she can never officiate

2) Allowing such incapacitated persons a right to nominate without reference to qualification and to change the nomination from time to time

3) Appropriation of a portion of the endowments of the office for the maintenance of the incapacitated holder and thus making the office unattractive to a qualified officiator.

4) Loss of interest in the sanctity and popularity of the institution.

5) Recognition of rights of property in institutions which are public in their nature and origin.

6) The likelihood of the Archakship passing into families who cannot officiate as Archaks ~~or~~ or who are not in the estimation of people fit for the office.

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—70 000—17 12 15

Serial No.

I would for these reasons not-
allow an unrestricted devolution
of right in these cases. A widow
may still be treated as an exception
as she at any rate can keep up the
traditions of the office and get
the cooperation of the Archak Com-
panions of her husband. Further
she may adopt a Son and perpetuate
her husband's line and carry on
his work.

After the widow, the devolution
should go only to the male heirs who
are competent and willing to
enter upon the duties immediately
[illegible] persons. Otherwise the
evil of absentee hereditary archaks
is concomitant. Nobody is bound
to grow. In appointing to a Mirasi
office the Govt have always been
exercising a voice in judging of
fitness and it will be a pity to
resign this right. In the present
case the Archaka Kapani pataiyar
who is the Son in law of the deceased
seems to be a fit person and
he may be recognised as the

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—70 000—17 12 15

Serial No.

officiates without necessarily conferring a hereditary right in the office.

The appellant-Ramanuja and his wife savaiya the brothers of the deceased are otherwise employed and unless they are personally qualified and immediately willing to take up the service, their claims to the office need not be considered.

One principle cause for the deterioration of service in temples has been this bogey of hereditary right divorced from qualification and it seems to be high time for some drastic action to be taken if the deterioration is to be arrested.

If need be a regulation on the lines of the Village Officers Act may be passed to regulate succession to Mirasi offices and the opportunity may be taken to define the qualifications of the aspirants to the various offices.

2nd member) 29.7.16

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPP—70 000—17 12 15

G. R. S. 12]

Case No. 1216-7 8 19

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 224 of 1917

Serial No.

The respondent absent and notice served on the matter written. The applt: present. Case adjd & next date of hearing the notified be

31.7.16

Submd with notices to 20th Nov.

M (RH) 2.11.916 Applt Lek 3.11.16

H. Ramanna applt. present. Lakshmidevamma absent and notice has not been returned served The Case is adjd and another date will be fixed and intimated to the parties

Lek 20.11.16

Submd. with DR notices to 2nd March 17

M (RH) 2.2.17 5 applt Lek 6.2.17

Respdt not served. The applt has given alternative address. notice will issue to them at other address for the next hearing date

Lek 2.3.17

Notices for the 10th prox signed 20.3.17

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—70 000—17 12 15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 424 of 1916

Serial No.

Respt:- Served but has petitioned for an adjt:. The appellant is present in person. As the case has been pending for a long time and adjourned repeatedly, the members are of opinion that the records might be perused and final order passed

(Hon. Member)

ha
10.4.17



NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPL—70 000—17 12 1

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 422 of 1916

Serial No.

284
21.7

Order.

The appellant is a widow of one Ramaswami and is entitled to worship in the Temple as the senior widow of the deceased Ramaswami. It has been found that the deceased left the Ramaswami and his two widows were divided in estate. And it was in consideration of the fact that the name of the Respt. was changed in the record of rights that the Respt. was ordered to be registered as the Dharmakarta of one of the hereditaments of the said Ramaswami.

So long as there is no rule or order of the Court by, [redacted] the right of female heirs to succeed to hereditary offices must be recognised and has been recognised by this in not a few cases hitherto.

The Dharmakarta was to remain correct in saying the appeal is dismissed

Dism 21/7

17/7/17 Ag 21/7/17 28 23/7/17

Submitted with a Dft. order.

[illegible] 27.7.17 Seen) 28 28/7/17

28 28.7.17

28 30/7 adopted 30.7.17

6 No: 281 & 6.8.17, 3 Members

Ltrs.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

[illegible]—70,000 -17 12 15

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1 12-16—S

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 1124 of 1917

Serial No.	
	Hasan Distt, has been a party to the suit based on the case, confirming the decree and dismissing the [illegible]
	Submitted with a W.P. and Forapple
	dtr — NW(54) 20.8.17. — 21/8/17 — appe 22/8
7	No: 515 dt 29.8.17, to Hasan forwarding here with the record of the suit.
	No 516 dt 29.8.17, forwarding the Report [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.




S.C.R. No. 1436 మైసూరు శీమేయింయు ముజరాయి సూపరిం

టెండెంటరవరధుజూరుజనాభినే -

10/4/1915
SECTION II
FILE అపీల్ 1వ తరగతి/14
SERIAL No. 1

అపీల్ప్రతివాది

హూనసవడిస్ప్రిప్పుహోళీనరసీ | హోళీనరసీపురదవంశ
పురదరసభావాసస్థభుబ్బణ్ణన | బాదల్లరువఅణ్ణంది
వరమగహాఅభిష్టసాంయరనడళ్ళి | తరుపళ్ళుగళబాద
ఇర్తత్రియిల్స్నుల్ఉపరింటెం | నిలలత్తిక్కదేవపుర
టెంట్కేలసదల్లరువహెబ్బ్.రామణ్ణ | నోగారమ్మనహబా -

వాదియుప్రార్థనేగళు.

1. ఈ అపీలు హూనసవడిస్ప్రిప్పుహోళీనరసీపురద తాల్లూకుకసబాహోబళీఅంచనాధపురద,నుబ్రహ్మణ్యేశ్వర స్వామిదేవరఅర్చనేడళ్ళవిషయిదల్లి,అభుత్రవంత రాగిపవతియూనిరువ,శ్రేష్ఠిప్పులురుపీఅణ్ణంతరిసిద ఇదందళ్ళ ఇవరఖాబాతేమ్మనమగనాగిందు,ఇవరిం మత్తు ఇవరహెంరతిగూనరు,ఉత్తరక్రియాదినగళన్నుమాడిదవనాగియూ,వుత్తా హాలబరావర్ధాకారనాగిరే లసరన్నునడసుత్తిరువవాదియన్నుభిట్టు,అణ్ణంతరవే హేణ్ణుమగళాదప్రతివాదిగళిగే శ్రీరావర్ధు ఆగబహుదేంద తీర్మాన్నవాంతిరున,అవుతే దాత్రవర 25.10.12 నేయళ్ళు 15 నే నంబరినఆర్డరినమేలియూ,రేస్పు'పి రమీషన్రర $\frac{30.1.15}{4\ 2.15}$ రమళ్ళుం 110 నే నంబరుఆర్డరినమేలియూ తందిద్దే.పదరిఆర్డరుకావియన్నుఇదరొందనేహన్ని ధానదవరాంబలిబేబస్పీలగత్తిసిరుత్తేనే.

2. ప్రస్తావాదఈదేవరస్థానమక్కవంశీకురాదడిలియలు
స్థాపించి,ఆలాగాయిన్ని నిందలూ నమక్కవంశదవళేపూజీయిస్థ
నరభుత్వాయిదస్థాగిపర్యారదిందదయపాలసిరువయినాం
జమీనన్ను అనుభవిసుత్వాయిదు అన్యాక్రాంతవాగదిరలేం
దు,ఈజమీనుగళన్ను ఈదేవరపేసంశోపట్టియన్ను వూంతి
సిరుత్వారే.వుత్తు ఈదేవర అర్జనేయన్ను నమక్కవంశీకరే
ప్రవువాగినడేసుత్వాఈబాబతుజమీనన్ను అనుభవిస
బేకేకేశరం లు,ఇదుయిూంబోంబ్బురక్లంతదప్పదారిగూం
వళపప్పదల్లగిఈవంశపారంపర్యవాగిఆయిూంవంశద
వళేఅనుభవిసిహోంరుబరబేకాగిరుత,ఈదప్పదారీ
లేలసవన్ను,ఆవంశీకరనాగియూ,వుత్తేకేవలసమీన
నాంరసుదారసాగియూ,ఇరువనాందియన్ను బిట్టప్పబేకే
వంశళే హోంట్టలన్నవాగిరువబేడ్డసుళ్ళగోహోంరబట్టు
కిందూ ఆరర్జరూ ఆరువదు,లేంబూ అన్యాయంవాగిద్దే.
ఇదరిందనమక్కవంశీకరాదబూర్వీకరయిద్దేళ్ళనీభ్రంశవా
గువదల్లదే,ఈవంశదవళేఆభంద్రాకరవాగిఅనుభవిసిహోం
రుబరలేందూ,ఇనాంజమీనన్ను దయవాంఆసిరుత్తేనవ
ర్నూర్వదవరవయిద్దేళ్ళూసదుభ్రంగవాగుత్తేదే.

3. ఇందూలూ,ప్రకారవాగి (ఎ) స్థంతగంరుమళ్ళు, (బి)
స్థంతనడేఁదరరువుత్తు అవరగంరువళ్ళు (సి) యిశ్వా
రూ ఇల్లదిద్దరే దొంకిత్రరు అనంతేళ,(డి) యూంకిడత్తిరదదా
యిూందరూ,ఈపేకేత్రవువాందదప్పదారంగుత్తేరిందూ
పప్పవాగిద్దాగళు. (Vide para 9 of General-
circular No 5 of 1872-73 page 270 of the Revenue
Manual of 1886) స్థంతతేమక్కనామగనాదవాందియిు
న్ను బిట్టు,దొంకిత్రసంతతియూఇల్లద(గంరువళ్ళూఇల్లద)
జీర్ణమళ్ళగేఅధికారచుంటేందు,తీరక్కినామంతి
రువదూ తుంబూ అన్యాయంవాగిదే.

4. అపుత్రవంతరాగియూ, మత్స్యదౌహిత్రరూ ఇల్లదే, వ్యక్తి పట్టుఅణ్ణపంతితరిగూ, మత్స్యఅవరపటుంబర్హ్మ, నహఅవరు స్వ్రతేపట్టాగ ఇవరఖాసా తమ్మనామగనాదనా నేరమూం తరగళ్ళన్నుమాడిరువదల్లదే, ఈగలూ అవంశవష్టేనహోగే నతేందువర్క్రంయూదిగళ్ళన్నువూరుత్తా, న్యాయువాదహ ళ్ళుదారనాగిధోరనే. హిందూశాస్త్రమత్తు "లా,, ప్రకార వానిరమూణంతెరమాడి అవరిగే సద్గతియన్నుంటూమాడిః న్యాయువాదవాచరసుదారశానిరువవరిగే, వ్యవతేయూదుర్ల్రంయూఛిద్రతవాదలాస్తిగూసహదర్మ ఇతరరల్లరిగింతలూ జేష్ఠానిలుంటూనిరువాగ, విత్రోక్తిజిద్రతవాదమత్తు వంశపారంపర్యవాగియీ అనుభవిసిబేరేనిరువవు పూజేంయుర్మన్నునానే తబ్బిదిడిణ్ణవర్మళిగేఆగబర్దుదేః దుమాడిరువఆర్తరు తుంబాఅన్యాయువానిధో.

ల. ఇతరరిగేదాన, భిన్నస్య, విక్రయ, వర్గ్యేరియూవవిధవానిలుకూ, వరభూశీవూంరువదర్మ నమ్మబోరడవ్వి అజ్ఞవండితరింగేఆగలి, అథవా ఇన్యారిగేఆగలి, స్వల్పవూఅధికారవిల్లదే, ఈదేవరభోజేంయున్నువూరుత్తా, ఇనాంజమీనన్ను అనుభవిసలేనిరువ, ఈపూజేంయుర్మన్నుదేవరభోగే అధికారవిల్లదఱిణ్ణమళిగేఆగబర్దుదేందుమాడిరువఆర్తరు తుంబాఅన్యాయువానిధో. ఈరీతింవూనివంశపారంపర్యవాగియీరీలసవూతిఅనుభవిసబేకానిరువదేలసదరూప్ప (Heridetary Appointments)

కేలసమాతువవనుగంతుసంతతి ఇల్లదివ్యలేకపుర్తి, ఆతనన
నవిలవిప్తవారసు దాశరిసిబరఖిలీళీవరంతు, పిడ్డవుళ్ళ ఖాగో
ళీంగలు పీనూవారణ విల్ల. ఈఖండగదల్లగ్రంథంజ్ఞలేవాద,
ఆస్తిగే అన్వయిసువ "లా,, వన్ను ఇదళ్ళి అన్వయిసిరు
వదు తుంబా అన్యాయవాగిద్ది.

6. పసుంత్రవాదదాంయుంది గళిరు వాస్తే వంశపారంపర్య
వాగిబరు వహర్ళు దారిదేలసవు, ళీదేలవంశళ్ళే ళీంగరూ
శదందు గార్ళ మేంటినవరు అనేకసందభ్రగళల్లి తీర్మాన్
నిసిద్దాగళు, అద్వాశదస్నాగద్దనేసితారదే రిజిస్ట్రేషన్ ఖర్చు
శవరుత్తగవూంటిరులవత్తిరద్దరుతుంబా అన్యాయవాగిద్ది.

7. మిందే అపుల్దారశాసిద్ధముళీకృష్ణంప్పరీరవరు, ఎల్లా
పత్రిళాక్షిదూనగళన్నుపరాంబరిసి, పిడ్డవుళ్ళ ళాందశ్రతిమా
దిగళిసి పేంటియుడుప్పు ఇల్లవేందూ, డానిడుప్పు ఇద్దరీ, అవ
రు అలూంటిదాశితోనల్లిని ఇవ్వేభద్రశతడిసి కేంళ్ళబిడుడందూ
రంతోంత్రవాగి 19.6.908 రవుర్ళుం 76 నేనంబరుర్ళుం
న్నుకేంష్టిరువదూ, మత్తే అవరత నంతరమింద అవు
లాదాంశే వుు మి.పి. శీతాంరాంపంత్రినారలూనడ, ఎల్లాదివా
రన్నుపరాంబరిసిరుంది "లా,, శ్రీహారవాంశియూ మత్తే
ఇతరనతగళి దేగళల్లూనడువాదియూదనానేనాళ్ళుం
నాందడుప్పుదారనేందూ, అభిప్రాంయిసిశవత్తిదదరూంబడు
వరుగళూ మూతిరులవంశోంత్రవాదతీరాశినగళన్నేళ్ళూ
బదలాంయిసి, ఈగనే తెపు రుంతే మోదలినఅపుల్దారు
లుగళ్ళూనూతిరులవతిరద్దరుగళన్నుబదలాంయిసిలు తెపుగే

అధికారవిల్లదిద్దాగ్గూ, ఈవిషయంబంధఅవులోదారీలు ఆర్డర్
తిరునారాయణఅయ్యంగార్ రవరు 25 10.12 రవుళ్ళం 15 నే
నంబరుచీలకే ఆర్డర్రన్ను మాంతిరువుదూ, మళ్ళీ నాళ్ళియుంపాద
మీందటిన అవులోదారులుగళ్ళ ఆర్డర్రుగళ్ళన్నూ, అభిప్రాయ
ంతుగళ్ళన్నూ, పరిశీలించిబదలే తవుశీఅధికారవిల్లదే
నే, మాంతిరువ 3నే అవులోదాంత్రవరఆర్డర్రన్నే పుష్టీకరిసి
హూ॥ రేష్యుటిరమీషన్ నాడేబరవరు మాంతిరువ $\frac{30.1\ 15}{4\ 2\ 15}$ ర
వుళ్ళం 115 నే నంబరుఆర్డర్ హూసది, తుంబా అన్యాయవాగిద్దే.
8. వంశపారంపర్యవాగిబరతక్క కేలదదరుప్పగంరువారసు
దారరిగల్లదేహింగసరిసిల్లవేందు శాస్త్రి యింతినల్లతీర్మాన
వాగిరువఅనేకదాంబలీగళ్ళల్లిక్కి, నాను నవూ దువుంతిద్దర
ల్లి $\frac{1375}{588-04-70}$ Dated 31-7-1905, $\frac{2410}{271-03-04}$ of 16-9-1904
and Appeal సుట్ 80 of 1908-909 ఈనంబరుగళు మ
జరాయిభూతిసిసంబంధవల్లదల్లవేందుహూ॥ రేష్యుటిర
మీషనర్ రవరు వెయక్రుళ్ళం న 2నే విన్నారాదల్లి అప్పణోపో
డిశిరుత్తారే. దరుప్పదారిల్లదవిషయంబద్దిన్నాన్యాయపరిం
దే ఆగిరువుదరింద, ఆన్యాయవన్నే ఇదర్మంతూతీర్పే గణ
నేగే తందుకోళ్ళరూతరదే నూత్తానుపుదల్లి. ఉళిదదా
ఖలీగళ్ళల్లిగాశ్రీయుంత్ ఆర్డరరే నం 3867 of 19 4 1905 న
గ్లేరియుంతీయుళరాయి సంబంధదవివాదదల్లిశాస్త్రి యుంతి
నవరు దేవస్థానదమూజీదరుప్ప ఆవంశదపరాదల్లి తరద

ప్పుదాకరిరువాస్తోషీలవంశస్థే ఛోంగువుదు వజ్రేంబలు వ్రే
ష్టువాగి అభిప్రాయ వశిష్టిదవరూ అవుగళియూ వదూ ఈదేశి
నవసంబంధవరువదిల్లవేందిల్ల కుటీంబవీషధి సాంజీబరవరు
అభిప్రాయివరువదక్కే కారణవిరువదిల్ల.

9. ఈజరల్ డేవిడ్ పరమీషధరవరు తెవుక్క ఆరడిన 3నే
వ్యాకాందల్లనవమూదు వూంతిరువ 20.1.1912నేవలు క్కం
1674 Thuny/140-11-2నే నంబరుశ్రీ ది థంప్సన్ నల్లి హోద్దు
కారనుదాకరిగే అభద్రనేహప్పు ఇరువవిచారసర్కారదవరు
లంకాంయి తెవగ్గ నగే తెందు హేళ్కుత్తిరువుదాగి నమూదిరు
వుదరిందహోద్ద మళ్ళిగే భూజీ డప్పు బూంటించు, అవుగళు హేంది
సుత్తిరే. ఈ ఆరర్డరన్ను శ్మార్తింవుగి ఇవరు వరాంబరి నిరువం
తే కాణువుదిల్ల. ఈ ఆర్డరు, భూజీయుడప్పు హింపసరిగే యూం
వాస్తూ ఇల్లవేందు ఇవరతే ఆర్డరీ ఆగబేకేందు వేర్పే సూంబు
రిజిస్ట్రేషన్ డేవిడ్ పరమీషధ్ సాంజీబరవరు కోళిహేంరిద్దరమో
లక్కా నిజవరల్ ఆర్డరు వూంతువదక్కే ఆగువుదిల్లవేందూ, కో
లవుదందభద్రదల్లహోద్ద కారకుదారరడప్పన్ను నగే తెరు
వుదు వాంతిరేయిరువుదేందు, అదరంతే ఆయూ కోరిసివిద్ద
వూన్నోరేతిప్పే నతే వూంతబేకేందూ, కొన్న మేంపతినవరు
అభిప్రాయి వశిష్టిరువరే కోరతు, రమాద్యంతరవన్ను మూం
రిన్నాయుందాదగంరు కారనదాకర్తనిరువాస్తోషీలవంశక్కే
సి ట్టు లస్సవాగిరువహోద్దూనుళ్ళిగే అధికారవుంటుంది.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯೆಂಬ ನವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವು ಈ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಪಾರಸುದಾರರು ಎನ್ನುವ ದಕ್ಕೆ ಬರಾವದ್ಯ ದಾರನಹೊಂದಿರುತ್ತಿ, ತವಕ್ಕನಹೊಂದಿರುತ್ತಿ ಮಗನಹೊಂದಿರುತ್ತಿ, ಮೊದಲಾದ ಆವಂಶಕ್ಕೆ ಶೇರಿದವರೆಂಗನಾರೇ? ಅಥವಾ ಚೀರೆ ವಂಶಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಟ್ಟೆಲಸ್ಸವಾಗಿರುವವರೆಂದು ಮಾತ್ರ ಳೇ? ಅದುಚ ನ್ನಾಗಿ ವ್ಯತ್ಯವಪಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ; ಅವರವಂಶಕ್ಕೆ ಶೇರಿ ಚೀರೆಯಂಮಾವಜೆ ವನೋ ಪಾಂಯುಮಾ ಇಲ್ಲದಹೊಂದಿರುತಿನಗ್ಗೆ ರೆಯುನರಹುತ್ತಿಗೂ, ಚೀರೆವಂಶಕ್ಕೆ ಶೇರಿದವರೆಣ್ಣು ಸುಳ್ಳಳಾದುತ್ತಿಗೂ, ಬಹಳಳ್ಳಾಲ್ಲೆ, ನವಿಧೇಮತ್ತು ಗಾಕ್ಷಿಯೆಂಬ ನವರು ಈ ಆರೋಪಿನರೆಂಬ ನೆಯಲ್ಲಾ ನಮೂದುಮಾಡಿರುವ $\frac{3867}{19.4.05}$ ನಂಬರುಗಳಿದಾಖಲೆ ಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆಸೀಲು ಮಾಡಿಸಿರುವಲ್ಲಿವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿಬರಬೆ ಕಾಗಿರುವಷಾಂಜೆಯಂಬುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಆವಂಶದವರಿರುವಾಗ್ಗೆ ಚೀರೆವಂಶ ಕ್ಕೆ ಶೇರಿದವರೆಂದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯಾಗಿರುವವರಿಂದ, ನಮಗೆ ದೊಂತೊಂದ್ರವನಂಶೀಲನಾದನಾನು ಇದುನಿಜಂಅಲ್ಲ, ಪೊಳ್ಳೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನು ನರಿಯುಮಿನತೆಸುತ್ತಾದೇವಸ್ಥಿನವನ್ನು ಬಿಂದೋಬಸ್ತಾಗಿಂಪಗಾಲಿಮಾಡಿ, ನರಿಯುದಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿರುವಾಗ್ಗೆ, ವಿನುಹಾರಣೆಯಿಲ್ಲದೆಚೀರೆವಂಶಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಟ್ಟೆಲಸ್ಸವಾಗಿರುವವರೆಂದು ಪ್ರಾಂವಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಪ್ರಾಪ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ಡೀಗಮಿಷ್ಟ್ರೇಟಿನಾಮೆಬರವಣಿಗೆ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ವಿನುಕಾರಣವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

10. ರೆವ್ಯೂಡಿಗಮೀಷ್ಟ್ರೇಟರವರು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ 4ನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯೆಂಬ ನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದುಮಾಡಿರುವ ಪೀರ್ ವಿಶ್ವೇಶ್ವರಯ್ಯರವರು ಆ ಹೊಂದಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂ

ಚೀಕೀತಾಲ್ಲೂರಿನೆಸರ್ಕಾರಿಪೆಲಸದಮೇಲೆಳ್ಳೋಂಡದಂರಿಂದ,ನನ್ನ ಭಾಂ
ಬತುನಕತೀರ್ ಭೈಂಳೇಂಯುನ್ನು ಮಾಡುಳ್ಳದಕ್ಕೆಳ್ಳೋಳ್ಳೇನರಶೀಶ್ರದವೇ
ದಪಡಿಪಾಠಶಾಲೆಲುಪಿಂಧ್ಯಾಲಯರುನರಸಿಂಹಾವಧಾನಿಗಳಮ್ಮ
ಕ್ಕಳುಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಪತಿಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಎಂಬುವರನ್ನು,ನೇಮಕವೂಡಿಕ್ಕ್ಯವಿಷ್
ಯದಲ್ಲಾಗಿಂದೆಂಯೆತಾಲ್ಲೂರಿನಲ್ಲಾಜೇಳ್ಳೆಕೆಟ್ಟುಲಿಂಡುನಿ
ನಡೆದಿರುವಮೇಲೆಳೈಂಳೇಂಯುಪ್ರಮುವಾಸಿನಡೆಂಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದ
ರೂ,ಈಸಿನಆವುತೆದಾರ್ರುಚೀಕೆಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯುವಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದ
ಕ್ಕೆ.ವಿನುಪಾರ್ಥಲೋಕೆಸ್ಕೂತ್ತಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲಾಬೀಕೆನಾನುಳೈಂಳೇ
ರೆಲಸವನ್ನುನರಿಂಯೂಸಿನಡೆಂಯುವದಕ್ಕೆತಕ್ಕ ವೀಶ್ವಾಸ್ರನ್ನು
ಮಾಡಿ,ಅದರಂತೆ ಳೈಂಳೇಲ್ಲುವನರಿಂಯೂಸಿನಡೆಂಯುತ್ತಿರುವಾಗ್ಗ
ರವನೀವತೆಂಪ್ಪನುಳೈಂಳೇರೆಲಸಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವಾಸಿ,ಅ
ಮತೆದಾರ್ರುವರುಬಳೆಂಯುತ್ತಾಶೆಂದುಡುಟೆಂಪಮೀಷನ್ಗೆ
ಸೊಳೀಬರವರ್ರುನಮೂದುಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾಶೆಂದೆನದರಿಅವ
ತೆದಾರ್ರುವರುರವನೀವತೆಂಪ್ಪನಿಳೈಂಳೇಲ್ಲುಳ್ಳೆಆರ್ಡರೆಕೆಟ್ಟು
ನದರಿಆರ್ಡರಿನಮೇಲೆನಾನುಡುಟೆಂಪಮೀಷನ್ಗೆಸೊಳೀಬರವರ್ಳ್ಗೆ
ಅಶೀಲುಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಮೇಲೆಳೀಅಶೀಲುಇತ್ಥೆಥನಿವಾಸುವರಿಗ್ಗೆ
ನದರಿಅವತೆದಾರ್ರುವರುಆರ್ಡರ್ರುಜಾರಿಮಿತರಂಡದಂದುಡು
ಟೆಂಪಮೀಷನ್ಗೆಸೊಳೀಬರವರುಆರ್ಡರ್ರುಮಾಡಿರುವಂಟೆಕೆಟ್ಟು
ಪದರಿಂದ,ನದರಿರವನೀವತೆಂಪ್ಪನುಸಂಜೀವಂರಾಯ್ತಿಯುವಾ
ಪ್ರತೆದಾರ್ರುವರುಮಾಡುವರಿಳ್ಳೋರ್ದಾ ಎಪ್ಪೆವುಟ್ಟಿಸಿ
ಂನ್ಯವಳ್ಳೋಅದನ್ನುವುಡಾನಾಮಿಂಯುವರೀಲೂಂಡಿನಳೀಂಡಿಕ್ಕಿ..

ಘನಸರ್ಕಾರದವರುಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನೆ
ಲ್ಲಾವಕಾಂಬರಿಸಿಕೊಜರಲೆಂದೆಷ್ಟುಪರಮೀಷ್ಟಿನಾಡೀಬರ
ವರುಮೂಡಿರುವಪ್ಪೂಜೆಯಂಡಪ್ಪಹೆಣ್ಣುಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆಲುಂಟೆ
ಬಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾದಆರ್ಡರನ್ನುವಜಾಮಾಡಿಸಿಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂ
ದನಮ್ಮವಂಶೀಕರೇಆಚಂದ್ರಾರ್ಕವಾಗಿಅನುಭವಿಸಲೆಂ
ದುಬಲವುಪಾಲಿಸಿರುವರಿಯೂಯಂಬುತಿಗಳ್ಳನ್ನುನಮ್ಮ
ವಂಶೀಕರಿಗೇಲುಳ್ಳಿಸಿಹೊಟ್ಟುಕೀತಿರಿಯನ್ನುಸಂಪಾ
ದಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶೇವಕ ಅಕ್ಕೆಂಕ ಬಾಧ್ಯರಯಶೇವಕ.

H. Ramanna

Chikanayakanhalli
Dated 6th March 1915

Received
18/2/15
Telugu

ప్రజావాణి నం
110
4-2-2015.

ఎ.

ఎ. ఎ. ఎ.

3. 20-1-1912 నాటి ఉత్తరువు నం. 1674/ముద్రా.1140-11-2 ద్వారా

4.

30. - 1 - 1915

COMMISSIONER'S DEPUTY HASSAN DISTRICT

Received
18/2/1915

2715

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

No 2258 Cr. 2, Dt 9.4.91
Mr 2-4224-14-2

The accompanying Petition Registered
No 1436 dated 6.3.1915
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from H. Ramanna,
Supdt. Govt. Industrial School
Chikkanaikanahalli appealing
against the Dy. Commrs.
order directing that the
Archak varamard of Sri
Subrahmanyaswara Swami
Temple at Nikavathapura
be made out in the name
of Lakshmidevamma, daughter
of the deceased
in preference to the appt.
is forwarded, together with its enclosures
to the Dy. Commrs.
Hassan Dt.
for
report with records.

By Order,

Secretary to Govt.

BANGALORE, 9/4/15
191

P. T. O.

G PB—1,000—S-S-13

ನಂ 22594 ದಿ 9-4-1915

2)

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

..... ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....

.....

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

~~ಅಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿದೆ~~ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ 6-3-1915

ಪುರ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ..... ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

..... ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು,

.....191

By Order,

Secretary to Govt.

9/4/15

DIARY No 1716

10/4/15

ACTION Mur

FILE No. 424-14

FILE No. 3

మైసూరు రాజమండ్రి మధ్య రాకపోకలు సులభతరం చేసేందుకు ప్రత్యేక రైళ్లు నడపాలని కోరుతూ రైల్వే మంత్రి సదానంద గౌడకు ముఖ్యమంత్రి సిద్ధరామయ్య లేఖ రాశారు.

ఈ మేరకు ముఖ్యమంత్రి సిద్ధరామయ్య రైల్వే మంత్రికి రాసిన లేఖలో, రాజమండ్రి నుంచి బెంగళూరు మీదుగా మైసూరుకు ప్రత్యేక రైళ్లు నడపాలని కోరారు. ఈ రైళ్లు ప్రస్తుతం నడుస్తున్న రైళ్లకు అదనంగా ఉంటాయని, ఈ రైళ్లు నడపడం వల్ల ప్రయాణికులకు ఎంతో ప్రయోజనం కలుగుతుందని ఆయన పేర్కొన్నారు.

ముఖ్యమంత్రి లేఖలోని ముఖ్యాంశాలు:-

మైసూరు నుంచి బెంగళూరు మీదుగా రాజమండ్రికి ప్రత్యేక రైళ్లు నడపాలని రైల్వే మంత్రిని కోరిన ముఖ్యమంత్రి సిద్ధరామయ్య

ప్రస్తుతం నడుస్తున్న రైళ్లకు అదనంగా ఈ రైళ్లు ఉంటాయని వెల్లడి

ఈ రైళ్లు నడపడం వల్ల ప్రయాణికులకు ఎంతో ప్రయోజనం కలుగుతుందని వ్యాఖ్య

ఈ రైళ్లు నడపడం వల్ల ప్రయాణికులకు ఎంతో ప్రయోజనం కలుగుతుందని వ్యాఖ్య

ಯಜ್ಞಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಎಲ್ಲ ವಿಪ್ರರಿಗೂ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆದಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಂದು ಸೇರಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಜ್ಞಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಹೊರಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಷ್ಟರಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಂದು ಸೇರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಮುಟ್ಟಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಜ್ಞಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಬರೆದು ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಎಲ್ಲ ವಿಪ್ರರಿಗೂ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆದಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಂದು ಸೇರಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಜ್ಞಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಹೊರಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಷ್ಟರಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಂದು ಸೇರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ವಿಧೇಯ ಸೇವಕ

H. Ramanna

Dated 20. 4. 1915
Chikanayakanhalli

No 2712 - Dt 14/5/1915

Mis 424-14-B1

F. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Submission of the report
with records called for in
this Office memo 2258 / M-2-424-14-2
Dt 19-4-1915, may be expedited.

B.O.

25.4

Dft)

Sn Pvt. Secretary.

ముఖ్యాంశాలు అక్షరాలలోనూ!

అక్షరాలు

మొదటి పంక్తి

కృతి పంక్తి

లక్ష్యం చేరవచ్చు

ప్రొఫెషనల్ కరస్పాండెన్స్ అంటే-ప్రొఫెషనల్ పద్ధతిలో ఉన్నాయి

ప్రొఫెషనల్ పద్ధతిలో చేరవలసి ఉంటుంది-

కృతి పంక్తి అనగా అర్థాలు-

(1) ప్రొఫెషనల్ కరస్పాండెన్స్ చాలా చిన్న చిన్న వాక్యాలతో
ఉండాలి మరియు చాలా సులభమైన పదాలతో మీ ఆలోచనా
శైలిని తెలియజేయాలి అప్పుడే మీ. ఆ. లక్ష్యం
చేరవచ్చు-

(2) ప్రొఫెషనల్ కరస్పాండెన్స్ మొదట్లో చిన్న వాక్యాలతో
ప్రారంభమై మరియు * ఆ తర్వాత వాక్యాలు
ఆ అంశం యొక్క తర్వాత మరిన్ని లక్ష్యం చేరవచ్చు.

(3) అక్షరాలు ఆ పద్ధతిలో కొలతలు కరస్పాండెన్స్
ఉండాలి అప్పుడే మీ. ఆ. లక్ష్యం చేరవచ్చు-

H. Ramana.

Presented by
H Ramana
on 2/2/17

DIARY No. 1866
1915 16
SECTION MW1
FILE No. 424-14
SERIAL No. 5

GPB—50,000—13-9-15

1915-16.

From

C.Venkata Rao Esquire,B.A.,
Offg.Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

V.R.Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire,M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 2nd. May 1916.

Despatched 3rd

Received

General No. 399
No. 8

Enclosure No. V.file & the appeal petition with its two enclosures.

S U B J E C T.

Submitting a report on the appeal petition of H.Ramanna,Superintendent, Government Industrial School at Chik--kanaikanhalli,re -the Archakship in the Sree Subramanyeswara Swami temple at Ankanathapur in the Hole-Narsipur Taluk.

- - - - - - - -

Deputy Commissioner's Office, Hassan District,

Dated Hassan 2nd. May 1916.

From,

C. VenkataRao Esquire, B.A.,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

To,

V.R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esquire, M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your O.M.No. 2258/Muz.424-14-2 dated 19-4-1915 forwarding for report with the records, the petition of H. Ramanna, Superintendent, Government Industrial School, hikkanaikanahalli, appealing against this Office order directing that the Archak Barawardi of Sri Subramanyeswara Swami temple at Ankanathapur in the Hole-Narsipur Taluk be made out in the name of Lakshmidevamma, daughter of the deceased barawardar Annapandit, in preference to the appellant, I have the honor to state as follows :-

(1) The temple in question is a Muzrai Institution endowed with Inam lands. It is reported that this deity viz, Subramanyesvara Swami was being worshiped by 3 brothers by rotation as noted in the margin. (vide page No.51 in the file). On the death of Subbanna and Manjaiya, their sons viz, Ramanna and Visveswaraiya respectively looked after their father's duties in the temple, all the three barawardars dividing the produce of the Inam lands proportionately.

1. Annapandita alias Krishnappa for 3 months.
2. Subbanna for 3 months.
3. Manjaiya for 6 months.

2. On the death of Annapandit, his wife Parvathamma managed the temple service through one of her relations. On her death, her two daughters Lakshmidevamma and Gouramma preferred their claims before the Amildar of Hole-Narsipur Taluk to the deceased barawardar's Puja hak, there being no male member in their father's family and to the proportionate share of the produce of the Inam land.

S. Krishna Iyer, the then Amildar, after enquiry into the case

gave

gave the petitioner an endorsement dated 18-6-1908 to the effect that as Ramanna (the present appellant) was reported to be looking after the deceased barawardar's puja duties in addition to his own, and as there was no complaint against him, it was not possible to disturb the existing arrangement and that the petitioners might get their claims to the Archakship established in a proper Court (vide page No.18 in the file).

3. Against this order, the above mentioned Lakshmi-devamma and Gowramma preferred an appeal to the Assistant Commissioner, Channaraipatna Sub-Division. The petition was forwarded to the Amildar for report with the records. Mr.B.T.Seetharamaiya, the then Amildar of the Taluk, after recording the statements of the parties concerned, reported in his Ittilla No.44 dated 4-11-1909 that Ramanna had performed the absequies on the death of his uncle and aunt, viz, (the deceased Annapandit and his wife Parvatharma) and was also attending to the deceased Barawardar's puja service in addition to his own; and accordingly, the Amildar recommended that the vacancy caused by the death of Anna Pandit might be filled up by Ramanna. (vide pages 52 to 56 in the file).

4. The Assistant Commissioner, while submitting for orders, the records to this Office observed that the claims of Ramanna the present appellant (who is employed elsewhere on Government service) to Puja hak of the deceased Anna-Pandit should not be ignored even if Lakshmadevamma were put in charge of her deceased father's duties.

5. In the meanwhile, Government Proceedings No.1600-39 G.M.1-98-2 dated 7-9-1908 laying down that such questions might be settled by the Amildars, having been received, the whole file of records was returned to the Assistant Commissioner for issuing instructions to the Amildar of Hole-Narsipur Taluk. Mr.Tirunarnaiengar, the then Amildar of the Taluk, after making a thorough enquiryon the subject, recognized

recognized the claims of Lakshmadevamma and Gowramma for the puja hak, as decided by Government in a similar case, vide Government order No.1674/M1,z.140-11-2 dated 20-1-1912 and ordered that Kapanipathayya, the husband of Gowramma, should look after the puja duties of the deceased barawardar Anna Pandit, as a Gumasta. Against this order, H.Ramanna preferred an appeal to this Office. From the records it is gathered that Ramanna, the appellant residing in a distant place had not arranged for the conduct of worship during his turn by rotation, to the deity at Ankanathapura, and that he was receiving his share of the produce of the Inam lands endowed to the temple without doing any service.

6. Visveswaraiya, one of the barawardar archaks, testifies to this fact in his statement dated 3-5-1914 before the Amildar, wherein he states that he never performed as reported by Ramanna the appellant, the latter's portion of puja service during his absence.

7. Mr.G.Venkata Rao, Amildar, after inspecting the institution reported that the deity was being worshiped not by Ramanna or Visveswaraiya-but by the said Kapanipathayya, the husband of Gowramma, that the temple was badly in need of repairs etc. Considering all these circumstances and the decided case, alluded to above, it was ordered that the baraward be made out in the name of Lakshmadevamma, in preference to the appellant, and that Kapanipathaiya should attend to the temple service on behalf of the said Lakshmadevamma, the daughter of the deceased barawardar Annapandit, as a Gumasta.

8. It is against this order that the appellant has now preferred an appeal to the Government.

9. The file of vernacular papers ending with Channaraipatna Sub-Division Officer's yadi No.589 dated 5-12-1915 and appeal petition together with its one enclosure are herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Offg.Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 1 of 1916-17

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Ramanna, Superintendent, Lakshmidevamma.
Ist Industrial School at
Kikkanaikanahalli,

Subject.

Order no 1158 & 4 2-15 of the Appeal against the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District directing that the Archak Varaward of Sri Subrahmanyeswara Swami Temple at Ankanathapura near Holenarasipur, be made out in the name of Lakshmidevamma.

Orders

The case was finally heard by Sir. on 10th April 1917. The apltr was present in person, and the respt. though served with notice, petitioned for an adjournment.

2) The appellant is a nephew of the deceased Varawarddar and is entitled to a share of

GPB-500-13-8-18

of worship in the temple along with another nephew of the deceased karanavar. It has been held by the ~~Revenue~~ Lower Courts that the Karanavar and his two brothers were divided in interest. And it was in consideration of this fact that the name of the respondent who is a daughter of the deceased karanavar was ordered to be registered by the Dy. Commissioner as one of the hissedars to the Archakship along with the appellant and his cousin.

So long as there is no rule or order to the contrary the right of female heirs to succeed to pujari offices must be recognized and has been recognized by Courts in not a few cases hitherto.

The Dy. Commissioner's

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Order is therefore correct and accordingly confirmed the appeal is dismissed.

Memo no. 281 dt 16.8.1917
Mus 424-16-6
Encl file

Copy to the Dy. Commr., Hassan Dist. with the entire Vernacular received with his letter no. 399 dt 3rd May 1916

B-O.
lea
30.7.17

Joint Secy.

Submitted
May the order be printed?

30.7.17

Dy
29.7.17

29/7/17

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

ಅಂಚೆ ಮತ್ತು ತಂತಿ ವಿಭಾಗ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ
ಸಂಘದ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗೆ

ಬೆಂ. ೦.

ಇವರಿಗೆ

ಇವರಿಗೆ Jt Secy.

GPB—20 000—16-2 14

No. 281—Muz. 424-14-6, dated 6th August 1917.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Appeal No. 1 of 1916-17.

Appellant	*vs.*	Respondent
H. Ramanna, Superintendent, Government Industrial School at Chiknayakanhalli.		Lakshmidevamma.

Subject.

Appeal against the Order No. 115, dated 4th February 1915, of the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, directing that the Archak baraward of Sri Subrahmanyeswaraswami temple at Ankanathapura near Hole-Narsipur, be made out in the name of Lakshmidevamma.

Order.

The case was finally heard by Government on 10th April 1917. The appellant was present in person, and the respondent, though served with notice, petitioned for an adjournment.

2. The appellant is a nephew of the deceased barawarddar and is entitled to a share of worship in the temple along with another nephew of the deceased barawarddar. It has been found by the lower Courts that the barawarddar and his two brothers were divided in interest. And it was in consideration of this fact that the name of the respondent who is a daughter of the deceased barawarddar was ordered to be registered by the Deputy Commissioner as one of the hissedars to the Archakship along with the appellant and his cousin.

3. So long as there is no rule or order to the contrary, the right of female heirs to succeed to Pujari Offices must be recognized and has been recognized by Government in not a few cases hitherto.

4. The Deputy Commissioner's Order is therefore correct and is accordingly confirmed. The appeal is dismissed.

Memo No. 281—Muz. 424-14-6, dated 6th August 1917.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, with the entire Vernacular records received with his letter No. 399, dated 3rd May 1916.

By Order,
G. ARAVAMUDU IYENGAR,
Joint. Secy. to Govt., Muz. Dept.

Exd.—C. R

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. 281—Muz. 424-14-6, dated 6th August 1917.

Archak Baraward.

Confirms the Deputy Commissioner's order directing that the —— of Sri Subrahmanyeswara Swami temple at Ankanathapur near Holenarsipur be made out in the name of Lakshmidevamma, the respondent.

W D A 353—GPB—21-8 17—263